

[REDACTED]

Who else was Unimpressed with the credibility of [REDACTED]? the CCC submitted to the PCCC that the DPP found the [REDACTED] had “credibility issues” along with [REDACTED] to mention a few, they lacked credibility because the evidence they followed was that of the [REDACTED]” whose testimony was more “Reconstruction than Recollection”.

Some dates for Inquiry to note and for the record I don't believe in coincidences:

27th June 2017 [REDACTED] starts as [REDACTED]

7th August 2017 [REDACTED] visits CCC to discuss Corruption in Local Councils and was aware of CCC Operation Belcarra review

4th October 2017 Operation Belcarra delivered to Palaszczuk Government

10 October 2017 - First 90-Day probationary review of Kelsey's performance

12 October 2017 – [REDACTED] served on Councillor's

13 October 2017 - Palaszczuk Government accept Operation Belcarra report.

5 February 2018 - CCC warning letter not to sack [REDACTED] delivered to all councillors So council offered [REDACTED] 6 months extension of probation [REDACTED] which [REDACTED] rejects.

7 February 2018 - Councillors received legal advice not to take into consideration the [REDACTED] when deciding on [REDACTED] continued employment. They were also informed that they could make a reasonable management decision

7 February 2018 - vote of 7 to 5 not to extend employment past probation period.

9 February 2018 - Queensland Cabinet meets with Government Representatives, Invited Guests, and Ministerial Staff to Discuss LGAQ and Logan City Council

6th August 2018 [REDACTED] meet with [REDACTED] to discuss Ex Gratia payment of [REDACTED] legal fees

7th August 2018 [REDACTED] delivers letter to [REDACTED] request Government pay [REDACTED] legal fees

August 2018 [REDACTED] directs CCC [REDACTED] to investigate ways for LCC to be dismissed by charging [REDACTED]

24 April 2019 [REDACTED] directs Fraud charges to be laid instead of misconduct

26 April 2019 - 8 Councillors charged with a criminal offence, just days before final verbal submissions to the commissioner in the QIRC. This leads to [REDACTED] preferred outcome the dismissal of the LCC.

2 & 3 May 2019 – were the proposed dates for QIRC final sittings

6 May 2019 - council dismissed and an administrator appointed

Late May 2019 – [REDACTED] tries to influence [REDACTED] to reinstate [REDACTED] with the support of [REDACTED] advisors [REDACTED], when [REDACTED] refused to reinstate [REDACTED] and CCC look at ways to dismiss [REDACTED].

July 2019 – [REDACTED] lack of Impartiality and evaluating [REDACTED] from prosecutor to judge and jury.

There are more but this is a good start to reviewing how [REDACTED] and CCC DID NOT act Independently, impartially.

Finally, regarding so called evidence, nowhere in the evidence presented by the CCC and its Detectives is there any evidence of an Investigation to verify the accusations by [REDACTED] the CCC simply accepted their version of their evidence as fact.

Similarly, there is no evidence that the CCC and its Detectives ever investigated the validity of the [REDACTED] evidence in the Termination of [REDACTED] employment.

It is clear to all involved and the PCCC that the CCC acted without Independence or Impartiality in an endeavour not only to [REDACTED] [REDACTED]. For what political gain I will not speculate but it is also very clear that but obviously they were powered by Operation Belcarra:

1. This was always and industrial relations matter that the CCC had no right to interfere.
2. This was never a matter of fraud just and employer excusing its right not to give a person under probation a full-time job.
3. How they linked not appointing someone to a full-time position as Fraud by defrauding [REDACTED] of salary more than \$100,000 is incredulous connection of an Industrial Relations matter and a criminal matter.
4. Why did they do it? because charging [REDACTED] [REDACTED] with Fraud would get the Council dismissed [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

If you would like further evidence of the CCC lack of investigation and charging of innocent people there are another failed 13 cases and 3 failed appeals by the CCC against Mayors, councillors, and staff outside of the LCC 8 failed charges.

All these defendants have now been found innocent but under the current laws pertaining to the CCC as they stand, they have no right of compensation against the CCC or the State Government which clearly wrong, unfair, un-Australian and may be legal but the actions of the CCC are in direct contrast to its Code of Conduct which in my view at the very least is Misconduct in a Public.

You should recommend ex gratia payments to these innocent people and their family, and recommend changes allowing innocent people to obtain court costs and damages against the CCC. This would be a reminder to the CCC they are a Watchdog not an Attack-Dog.

You should also recommend charging [REDACTED] the CCC their own definition of Fraud by denying these innocent persons income and causing irreparable damage to their reputations.

The evidence also points to [REDACTED]
[REDACTED], and you should recommend they be charged with the CCC definition of Fraud.

As an Inquiry you need to find the actions of the CCC illegal as per prosecution guidelines [REDACTED]. Anything less will continue to allow the CCC outside their own code of conduct and act as a law unto themselves.

I look forward to the outcome of your inquiry [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

I will be happy for this submission to be public and would be pleased to be called as a witness should you require.

Your faithfully

[REDACTED]

David Kenny

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]